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- UHT milk (Denmark);
- Ban on imports of refined animal fats intended for human consumption (FR of Germany);
- Health inspection fees in respect of poultry-meat (Belgium);
- Restrictions on imports of semen for the artificial insemination of animals (France);
- Restrictions in respect of cattle and other live animals in transit (Italy);
- Failure to communicate information requested by the Commission (horsemeat) (France);
- Marks of origin for textiles (France);
- Quality standards for fruit and vegetables (Italy).

4. Greek memorandum

Position of the Greek Government on Greece’s relations with the European Communities

3.4.1. At the Council meeting on 22 March the Greek Government submitted the following memorandum on Greece’s future relations with the Community.

1. The economy of Greece differs markedly from that of the Community as regards both its level of development and its structures.

The special features of the Greek economy hamper its smooth functioning within the Community framework, the more so because the Greek Accession Treaty ignored them.

Community rules and mechanisms continue to be shaped and to operate to suit the central and developed economies for which they were of course originally conceived, whereas they have an adverse effect upon regional and less-developed economies.

Because the enlargement of the Community did not go hand in hand with adjustment and differentiation of Community rules to take account of the special nature of the economy of Greece, the accession of Greece is either exacerbating some of our nation’s problems or making their solution more difficult.

The repercussions of accession upon the economy of Greece were of course aggravated because they appeared at a time of serious international crisis.

Thus the economic problems which at present confront Greece principally spring from structural weaknesses, inequalities and imbalances within the economy, but their severity stems to some degree both from the international crisis and from the consequences of accession.

2. The Greek Government feels that it must draw the attention of the Community both to the special nature of Greece’s problems and to the policy which it has devised to deal with them, in the hope that the Community will effectively recognize the need for special arrangements permitting the development of the Greek economy and, more generally, of Greece’s links with the Community, without dispute or conflict and to the advantage of both sides.

The Greek Government is ready to examine together with the Community the possibility of working out such special arrangements which would be consistent with Greek social and economic programmes and contribute to the attainment of its development objectives.

In this context, “special arrangements” should be taken to mean that, on the basis of consideration of the general interest and the necessary political resolve, a decision should be taken to introduce differentiation into the management of Community policies and to evolve new mechanisms which will make due allowance for the special nature of the economy of Greece.

3. The structural defects of the Greek economy are briefly the following:

- an over-developed tertiary sector, a widespread black economy and a pronounced degree of parasitism;
- agricultural production accounts for only 17.2% of GNP whereas the agricultural population is 30% of the total population;
- the limited contribution (19.6%) of processing industries to GNP.

Weaknesses within each sector are as follows:
Industry

- 85% of companies employ fewer than five persons;
- Greece imports 80% of its capital equipment (machines);
- investment in processing industries represents only 4% of GNP.

Agriculture

- underemployment of a large part of the active population which, under present circumstances, cannot be absorbed into other sectors of the economy, and certainly not in the workers' home areas;
- the extremely small size of agricultural holdings and their great fragmentation;
- the inadequacy of the infrastructure and organization for marketing and processing agricultural products.

Lastly, a basic feature of the structure of the Greek economy is the existence of very great social and regional inequalities, and great disparities in incomes, along with the distorted overdevelopment of the Athens area.

4. The fact that the international economic crisis has hit an economy which, while suffering from structural inadequacies, has simultaneously to contend with the unsteadying effects of accession has led to a serious aggravation of its current problems.

Between 1978 and 1981 the following developments may be noted:

- the rate of inflation increased and hovered during those years around 25%, more than double the rate of previous years and of the average for the Community;
- the rate of increase in GNP fell appreciably each year and in 1981 the figure was negative for the first time;
- the current trade deficit doubled and in 1981 rose to 6.5% of GNP despite the continuing recession;
- the public sector deficit increased dramatically and in 1981 came to 17% of GNP.

5. It became necessary to take urgent measures to reverse this negative trend so as to ensure the minimum level of economic balance and stability required to deal with structural weaknesses.

The Greek Government expects that the measures it has taken on financial, monetary and credit matters will have the following effects in 1982:

- a slight increase in national income;
- a slight fall in the balance of payments deficit;
- a fall in the rate of inflation; and
- an appreciable decline in the public sector deficit, which it is estimated will be reduced from 17% to 12% of GNP despite the continuing imperative need to devote 5% of GNP to defence expenditure.

At the same time, as part of the more general incomes and social policy, the Government took a series of measures for the redistribution of income in favour of the economically weakest classes who had suffered particularly in recent years; these measures are in fact the basis, in the first instance, of the awaited revival of the economy.

The Government's economic policy for 1982 is supplemented by certain institutional measures which, on the one hand, derive from the particular need—in view of the threatening dimensions of the phenomenon—to remedy the situation of undertakings which are overburdened by debt and, on the other hand, are designed to create incentives to investment.

6. The Greek Government feels that financial, credit and monetary measures alone are not an adequate basis for a successful economic policy and that the need is for a decisive long-term political solution to structural inadequacies. The development of the Greek economy will be based on national programming, oriented towards solutions to sectoral problems and regional imbalances. The Government is preparing a five-year programme for economic development and the restructuring of the Greek economy, the implementation of which will begin on 1 January 1983. The programme provides for the modernization of the Greek economy to enable it to meet the demands imposed by international competition. This objective will, however, be fulfilled within the framework of basic and unswerving politico-economic options.

The first option is the development of industry with a view particularly to boosting production, increasing added-value, developing strategic industries and advanced-technology industries and also supporting small and medium-sized undertakings.

An equally crucial option, however, is keeping the population on the land, within the framework of increased administrative and economic decentralization.

Essential prerequisites for this are:
- support for agricultural incomes;
- substantial aid for the cooperative movement;
- the improvement of social and cultural infrastructures in the country.

7. The basic choice of objectives is first and foremost a problem and a responsibility for the Greeks themselves. At the same time, however,
the harmonious development and convergence of
the economies of the Member States are basic
aims of the Treaty establishing the Community.
The Greek Government believes that the develop-
ment policy which it has forged does not merely
correspond to Greece's own national interests.
The Community has repeatedly declared the need
for Community action to combat inequalities be-
tween its regions and members. These declarations
have not, however, borne fruit either because
suitable mechanisms have not yet been created or
because existing policies and mechanisms fail to
operate in accordance with the necessary criteria
or in the right direction or do not operate effec-
tively because the resources made available are in-
adequate.
Particularly inadequate is the transfer of resources
from the Community budget to the less-developed
countries and especially to Greece. In order to
have an entirely positive effect, such transfers
would—and this never happens—have to offset
the negative economic consequences deriving
from the trend of trade whether at international
level or between the internal market and imports,
or from the unfair distribution of activities and
the unfavourable terms of trade within the Com-
unity which adversely affect the least-developed
members and particularly Greece. The result of
this situation is the constant widening of imbal-
ances within the Community. For Greece espe-
cially, these disparities are the result not only of the
very particular structural inadequacies of the
Greek economy but also of the unfavourable
treatment by the Community of Mediterranean
regions as compared with the others with regard
to intervention prices, levels of support and the
level of protection of Mediterranean products.
To this should be added the fact that even within
the Mediterranean area the Greek economy re-
ceives unfavourable treatment. Indeed, a number
of regulations providing for greater intervention
and participation by Community financial instru-
cments in Mediterranean programmes and Medi-
terranean regions have not been extended to
Greece.
It should also be noted that the common organi-
zation of markets, which covers on average 95%
of the agricultural production of the other nine
countries, only covers 75% of that of Greece,
which is in general less effectively covered.
8. The discussions in the framework of the man-
date of 30 May show that there is now awareness
within the Community that its way of functioning
is going to create unacceptable situations. In
the opinion of the Greek Government the most im-
portant of these is the widening of economic im-
balances between the more and less-developed
members. This problem is one of absolute priority
for the cohesion of the Community.
The mandate of 30 May traces out certain
guidelines which show that the problem has been
properly understood. However, the reforms envis-
aged are not such as to provide a thoroughgoing
solution to regional imbalances as they do not af-
ford the means of achieving the objectives set.
9. The Greek Government believes that the pecu-
lar problems of the Greek economy can be
overcome through a series of new regulations dif-
ferent from those which govern present relations
between Greece and the EEC.
This new situation presupposes the extension and
strengthening of the Community's financial instru-
ments and at the same time the recognition by
Community bodies of the possibility, in specific
cases and for a reasonable period of time, of de-
rogation from certain Community rules. In par-
ticular the following are necessary under the five-
year development programme:
(a) increased Community support for specific
projects for the development of sectors, branches
and regions, and
(b) the recognition by Community bodies for a
sufficiently long period of the need for deroga-
tions from Community competition rules (grant-
ing of development incentives, provisional and
regulated protection of newly created industries,
granting of export aid for small and medium-
sized undertakings, exemption from production
limits).
The Greek Government believes that these ar-
rangements can be based in part on the activation
of Protocol No 7 to the Act of Accession of
Greece, which recognizes the need to settle special
problems of concern to Greece and recommends
that the Community institutions implement all the
means and procedures laid down by the EEC Tre-
aty, particularly by making adequate use of Com-
munity resources. It also recognizes in particular
that, in the application of Articles 92 and 93 of
the EEC Treaty, it will be necessary to take into
account the objectives of economic expansion and
the raising of the standard of living of the popu-
lation.
10. With particular reference to the various
Community financing instruments, we consider
that:
• the criteria must be reviewed so that the way
in which resources are granted and used corre-
sponds to the particular features of the social,
economic and administrative situation in Greece;
• the resources available must be increased and
the activities of the Funds coordinated;
• participation in the financing of projects must
be considerably increased and expenditure on so-
cial infrastructure covered;
• a new Fund with special resources must be
created for the development of the Mediterranean
regions with its own resources;
there must be greater scope for financial resources to be procured by the NCI.

11. We attribute particular importance to the financing of special long-term programmes for the least-favoured regions of Greece (islands, frontier and mountain regions) to cover everything from small land-improvement projects to tourist development and the development of small industry, crafts and agro-industrial units. We feel that there must be combined intervention by the Community Funds and the financing mechanisms of the Community with the above development projects being financed up to 80%.

The specific geographical position of Greece (which has no land frontiers with the Community but an enormous island surface area) requires particular attention on the part of the Community bodies, given that transport costs, particularly from the Greek islands, are so high as to reduce even further the competitiveness of products from such regions.

12. The Greek Government considers that Athens and Thessaloniki cannot be excluded from finance by the Community Funds. Living conditions in Athens, for example, (environmental pollution, traffic congestion, total lack of town planning, absence of an efficient social infrastructure) constitute an enormous economic problem, the solution of which will require considerable financial resources to be made available. In the Athens and Thessaloniki regions both the projects carried out and the criteria which will be applied for financing them will of course be adapted to the problems involved, i.e. the aim will be infrastructure development to improve the quality of life, decentralization of industrial activity to the provinces, etc.

13. One point to be stressed is that Greek agriculture, having as it does to operate side by side with the developed and modernized agricultural systems of the other Member States, faces acute problems under the CAP rules.

In order to alleviate these problems, the Community must provide finance and technical aid for carrying out major land improvement projects, for developing certain sectors, such as fishing, by means of the efficient exploitation of Greek lagoons, for setting up and organizing agro-industrial complexes and for developing cooperatives.

However, the immediate problem of income support for small farmers remains of vital importance. Given the high rate of inflation in Greece and until such time as it is brought down close to Community average, the proposed Community increases will be useless for Greek farmers, particularly when it is borne in mind that, given the structure of the Greek economy, intervention prices constitute for them the decisive element in their relations with the commercial and industrial sectors.

The problem of income support can only be dealt with by means of exceptional arrangements including direct and indirect aid for incomes, to be provided to a large extent by the Community. It must also be stressed that national aid to farmers is proportionally much higher than Community aid to this sector, and that per capita expenditure from national aid is greater in countries with a high per capita income.

14. The Greek Government hopes that the Council of Ministers will recognize the particular nature of Greece's problems.

This applies with respect both to direct measures of an urgent nature and to the general economic policy guidelines which, under a five-year programme for the restructuring of the Greek economy, will reflect fundamental economic policy options decided upon by the Greek Government.

As a concrete expression of such recognition, the Greek Government would request the Council to instruct the Commission to study the problems referred to in this memorandum and to ask it to propose special arrangements to solve them.

The Greek Government will cooperate with the Commission and in the meantime will finalize its positions and proposals on certain individual sectors. Until special arrangements are decided upon, the Greek Government hopes that the measures in favour of other Mediterranean regions will be extended to Greece and that, in line with what has been stated above, a positive solution will be found for the vital problem of support prices for agricultural products and related forms of agricultural incomes support.

The Greek Government is also awaiting with understandable and considerable interest the further course of discussions currently taking place at various levels on proposals to which it attributes particular importance, and in particular, those concerning new Regulations for Mediterranean products, the provision of new Community resources for the implementation of comprehensive programmes in the Mediterranean regions and the reform and strengthening of Community Funds and financing bodies.

The Greek Government considers that recognition by the Community of the need to deal with the particular problems of Greece, in conjunction with progress towards a more general reform of Community policies, constitute the minimum possible for creating conditions for Greek membership of the European Communities which will not be in conflict with basic Greek national interests.'